The Structure of Dimeric Azulenetricarbonylmethylmolybdenum, $[C_{10}H_8Mo(CO)_3CH_3]_2$

BY PETER H. BIRD and MELVYN R. CHURCHILL*

(Department of Chemistry, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138)

WE have previously ascertained the nature of the azulene-metal bonding in azulenedi-iron pentacarbonyl¹ (I) and azulenedimolybdenum hexacarbonyl² (II).

These two molecules represent the only known azulene-metal carbonyl species in which two metal atoms are associated with a single azulene ligand. However, other complexes are known for which the azulene : metal ratio is 1:1; *i.e.*, $[C_{10}H_8V(CO)_4]^{2,3}$ $[C_{10}H_8Mn(CO)_3]_{2,4}^{4}$ and $[C_{10}H_8Mo(CO)_3Me]_{2,5}^{5}$ A number of different formulations for these species have been suggested,⁴⁻⁶ but King and Bisnette⁵ have suggested that these molecules each contain a π -cyclopentadienyl-metal linkage and that dimerization occurs *via* a carbon-carbon bond between the seven-membered rings of the two

azulene systems. The preparative route to [C₁₀H₈Mo(ČO)₃Me]₂ yields a single crystalline product and it appears that (in this case, at least) the stereochemistry of carbon-carbon coupling is specific. However, lack of resolution in the ¹H n.m.r. spectrum of [C₁₀H₈Mo(CO)₃Me]₂ has excluded any unambiguous assignment of the stereochemistry of dimerization.5



We have therefore undertaken a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of dimeric azulenetricarbonylmethylmolybdenum to establish this point. The complex crystallizes in space group P1 (No. 2) with a = 10.00, b = 8.36, c = 8.19 Å, $\alpha = 107.3^{\circ}$, $\beta = 89.3^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 100.4^{\circ}$, Z = 1. The structure was solved by conventional Patterson, Fourier, and least-squares refinement techniques. Using com-plete data ($\sin\theta_{max} = 0.38$; Mo- K_{α} radiation) collected with a Buerger Automated Diffractometer, the present discrepancy index is 5.83% for the 1438 observable reflections. All non-hydrogen atoms have been accurately located, present e.s.d.'s being ~ 0.013 Å for Mo–C and ~ 0.018 Å for C--C, bond lengths. Furthermore, each hydrogen atom associated with the azulene ligand has also been unambiguously located. (The hydrogen atoms associated with the methyl group have not been located, presumably due to rotation of the methyl group about the Mo-C axis.) The molecule possesses a crystallographic centre of symmetry, the two azulene ligands being bonded via orthoortho (i.e., 4,4') coupling (see Figure). The molybdenum atom lies 2.025 Å below the planar (r.m.s. deviation 0.008 Å) π -cyclopentadienyl ring. The Mo-C distances vary systematically around the five-membered ring, the greatest distance being that involving C-9, the atom immediately above the

methyl group [Mo-C-1 = 2.359, Mo-C-2 = 2.287, Mo-C-3 = 2.314, Mo-C-10 = 2.392, Mo-C-9 = 2.3922.439 Å]. This "tilting" of the π -cyclopentadienyl ligand has been discussed before7 and appears to be general for π -C₅H₅Mo(CO)₃L systems. The sevenmembered ring is distinctly nonplanar, due mainly to the influence of the tetrahedral C-4. The deviations with respect to the least-squares plane of the five-membered ring are: $\delta(C-4) = +0.141$, $\delta(C-5) = -0.685, \quad \delta(C-6) = -0.750,$ $\delta(C-7) =$ $-0.175, \delta(C-8) = +0.129 \text{ Å}.$



FIGURE

The mean Mo-CO bond-distance is 1.996 Å as compared to the Mo-CH₃ distance of 2.383 Å. Angles in the $Mo(CO)_3Me$ portion of the molecule are identical, within experimental error, with those observed in π -C₅H₅Mo(CO)₃Et⁸ and π -C₅H₅Mo- $(CO)_{3}C_{3}F_{7}.^{7}$

(Received, June 5th, 1967; Com. 564.)

- ¹ M. R. Churchill, Chem. Comm., 1966, 450; Inorg. Chem., 1967, 6, 190. ² M. R. Churchill and P. H. Bird, Chem. Comm., 1967, in the press; see also J. S. McKechnie and I. C. Paul, *ibid.*, in the press. ³ E. O. Fischer, Abstracts of Organometallic Chemistry Symposium, Cincinatti, Ohio, June 1963, p. 66.

 - ⁶ R. Burton, L. Pratt, and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc., 1960, 4290.
 ⁵ R. B. King and M. B. Bisnette, Inorg. Chem., 1965, 4, 475.
 ⁶ M. A. Bennett, Adv. Organometallic Chem., 1966, 4, 366.
 ⁷ M. R. Churchill and J. P. Fennessey, Chem. Comm., 1966, 695; Inorg. Chem., 1967, 6, in the press.
 ⁸ M. J. Bennett and R. Mason, Proc. Chem. Soc., 1963, 273; M. J. Bennett, Ph.D. Thesis, Sheffield, 1965.